General Counsel will serve until replaced.

Sheldon Hackney,

Chairman.

[FR Doc. 96-25675 Filed 10-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATE: Weeks of October 7, 14, 21, and 28, 1996,

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of October 7

Wednesday, October 9

- 11:30 a.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting)
 - a. Final Rulemaking—Revision to 10 CFR Part 20, Constraint for Airborne Radioactive Effluents to the Environment from NRC Licensees Other than Power Reactors and Agreement State Licensees; and Revision of the General Statement of Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions (tentative)

(Contact: Andrew Bates, 301-415-1963)

Week of October 14-Tentative

Tuesday, October 15

1:00 p.m. Briefing by Executive Branch (Closed—Ex. 1)

Wednesday, October 16

9:00 a.m. Briefing on Containment Degradation (Public Meeting) (Contact: Goutam Bagchi, 301–415–2733) 11:00 a.m. Briefing by Executive Branch (Closed—Ex. 1)

2:00 p.m. Briefing PRA Implementation Plan (Public Meeting)

(Contact: Gary Holahan, 301-415-2884) 3:30 p.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Thursday, October 17

10:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. All Employees Meetings (Public Meetings) on "The Green" Plaza Area between buildings at White Flint

Friday, October 18

9:00 a.m. Briefing on Integrated Safety Assessment Team Inspection (ISAT) at Maine Yankee (Public Meeting) (Contact: Ed Jordan, 301-415-7472)

Week of October 21—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 21.

Week of October 28—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 28.

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415-1292. Contact person for more information: Bill Hill (301) 415-1661.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http:// www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301-415-1661).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to wmh@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov.

William M. Hill, Jr.,

SECY Tracking Officer, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26303 Filed 10-9-96; 10:28 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 3 **Issuance of Director's Decision Under** 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), has taken action on a Petition of March 28, 1996 (Petition), for action under § 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 2.206) filed by Louis D. Putney, Esq., on behalf of Barry L. Bennett (Petitioner) concerning the Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant (CR3) of the Florida Power Corporation (the licensee).

The Petition alleged a number of security-related deficiencies associated with the CR3 facility. The Petition requested, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206, NRC to investigate security concerns at CR3 and, upon a determination of their validity, institute a proceeding to suspend or revoke the operating license of CR3 pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202 until such time as these concerns are corrected. The Notice of Receipt of Petition Under 10 CFR 2.206 was published in the Federal Register on June 20, 1996 (61 FR 31562).

The Director of NRR determined that the Petition should be denied for the reasons explained in the "Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-96-13), the complete text of which follows this notice and is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Local Public Document Room for the CR3

plant located at the Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal Street, Crystal River, Florida.

A copy of this Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c).

As provided by this regulation, the Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after issuance, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of October 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Frank J. Miraglia,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 CFR 2.206

I. Introduction

On March 28, 1996, Louis D. Putney, Esq., on behalf of Barry L. Bennett (Petitioner), filed a Petition pursuant to § 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 2.206) and alleged a number of security deficiencies at Florida Power Corporation's (the licensee's) Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant, Unit 3 (CR3). The Petitioner requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the staff) investigate the security deficiencies at CR3 and, upon determination of their validity, institute a proceeding to suspend or revoke the operating license of CR3, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, until such time as these concerns are corrected. The Petition was referred to the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) for action in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206.

In a letter dated April 24, 1996, to the Petitioner, the Director of NRR acknowledged receipt of the Petition and informed the Petitioner that his request was being treated as a petition under 10 CFR 2.206. The April 24th letter also informed the Petitioner that as provided by 10 CFR 2.206, action will be taken on his request within a reasonable time. Receipt of the petition was noticed in the Federal Register (61 FR 31562). The staff has completed its review of the issues and has reached its conclusions, which are discussed herein

II. Background

The Petitioner alleged security deficiencies at the CR3 plant and stated that they render the nuclear security program at CR3 ineffective. As the basis of his request, the Petitioner described examples of the security concerns,